

THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

DOCKET NUMBER: AT9-99-505
June 6, 2000

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the Patent Application of:

Inventor: GUY LYNN GUTHRIE, ET AL

Re: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ENABLING WEAK CONSISTENT STORAGE ADVANTAGE TO A FIRMLY
CONSISTENT STORAGE ARCHITECTURE

Enclosed are:

- ☒ Patent Specification and Declaration
- ☒ 9 sheets of drawing(s).
- ☒ An assignment of the invention to International Business Machines Corporation (includes Recordation Form Cover Sheet).
- ☐ A certified copy of a application.
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement, PTO 1449 and copies of references.

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

For	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate	Fee
Basic Fee				\$690
Total Claims	11 - 20	0	x 18 =	\$
Indep. Claims	3 - 3	0	x 78 =	\$
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENTED			x260=	\$
TOTAL				\$690

☒ Please charge IBM Corporation Deposit Account No. 09-0447 in the amount of \$690.00. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to IBM Corporation Deposit Account 09-0447. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR §1.16.

☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" UNDER 37 CFR § 1.10

"Express Mail" mailing label number. EL453461739US

Date of Mailing: June 6, 2000

I hereby certify that the documents indicated below are being deposited with the United States Postal Service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and are addressed to Box Patent Applications, Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 and mailed on the above Date of Mailing with the above "Express Mail" mailing label number

Barbara Spence

Barbara Spence

Respectfully submitted,

Eustace P. Isidore
By Eustace P. Isidore

Registration No. See Attached
FELSMAN, BRADLEY, VADEN, GUNTER & DILLON,
LLP

Suite 350 Lakewood on the Park
7600B North Capital of Texas Highway
Austin, Texas 78731
Telephone (512) 343-6116

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ENABLING WEAK CONSISTENT STORAGE ADVANTAGE
TO A FIRMLY CONSISTENT STORAGE ARCHITECTURE

RELATED APPLICATIONS

5

The present invention is related to the subject matter of the following commonly assigned, co-pending United States Patent Applications filed concurrently herewith:

10

Serial No. _____ (Docket No. AT9-99-501) entitled "Multiprocessor Speculation Mechanism Via a Barrier Speculation Flag";

15

Serial No. _____ (Docket No. AT9-99-502) entitled "System and Method for Asynchronously Overlapping Storage Barrier Operations With Old and New Storage Operations";

20

Serial No. _____ (Docket No. AT9-99-503) entitled "Multiprocessor Speculation Mechanism With Imprecise Recycling of Storage Operations";

25

Serial No. _____ (Docket No. AT9-99-504) entitled "Multiprocessor Speculation Mechanism for efficiently Managing Multiple Barrier Operations";

30

Serial No. _____ (Docket No. AT9-99-507) entitled "Multi-level Multiprocessor Speculation Mechanism"; and

Serial No. _____ (Docket No. AT9-99-508) entitled "System

and Method for Providing Multiprocessor Speculation Within a
Speculative Branch Path".

The content of the above-referenced applications is
5 incorporated herein by reference.

009999-009999

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Technical Field:

5 The present invention relates in general to data processing systems and in particular to processing of barrier operations in multiprocessor data processing systems. Still more particularly, the present invention relates to a method and processor architecture for improving processing of instruction sequences by
10 speculatively executing load instructions before the completion of a prior synchronization (sync) operation.

2. Description of the Related Art:

15 The need for faster and more efficient processing of computer instructions has typically been at the forefront of development in processors and data processing systems. Traditional processors execute instructions in a sequential order, i.e., subsequent instructions were executed only after the execution of the
20 previous instruction was completed. These traditional processors are referred to as firmly consistent processors. In firmly consistent processors, there is an implied barrier after each instruction although there is no barrier operation included in the code sequence. Thus, these processors do not support speculative,
25 out-of-order execution of instructions.

30 Development of faster processors necessitated the creation of weakly consistent processor architectures, which permit some amounts of speculation (such as branch speculation) and out-of-order execution of instructions. To enable these types of execution, a processor assigns a series of instructions to a group when no dependencies exist between instructions within that group.

Instructions within a group can be executed in parallel or out-of-order (i.e., later instructions executed before earlier instructions). However, due to data dependencies, particularly with load and store instructions, within instructions sequences, instructions in different groups must be executed in program order to obtain correct processing results.

In multiprocessor systems, the completion of operations within code sequences executing on a first processor may be dependent on operations on a second processor. To observe such dependencies and maintain proper instruction execution order, it is necessary to place barrier instructions within the instruction sequence, which ensure that all instructions within a first code segment are fully executed (i.e., visible to all other processors) before any instruction within a subsequent code segment is executed. Barrier instructions are particularly necessary when the multiprocessor system includes superscalar processors supporting out-of-order instruction execution and weak memory consistency. For example, with load and store instructions executed by the load/store unit (LSU), a previous instruction that stores a value to a particular location must be executed before a later instruction that loads the value of that location. The instructions set architecture (ISA) supported by most popular commercial processors includes an instruction for setting such a processing boundary (i.e., a barrier instruction, which initiates a barrier operation on the system). In the PowerPC™ family of processors, for example, the barrier instruction, which is employed by a programmer to establish a processing boundary, is called a "sync" instruction, and the corresponding transaction on the system bus is called a synchronization operation (sync op). The sync instruction orders instruction execution. All instructions initiated prior to the sync instruction must be completed before the sync operation yields a sync acknowledgment

(ack), which is returned to the LSU. In addition, no subsequent instructions are issued until the sync ack is received by the LSU. Thus, the sync instruction creates a boundary having two significant effects: (1) instructions which follow the sync instruction within the instruction stream will not be executed until all instructions which precede the sync instruction in the instruction stream have completed; and (2) instructions following a sync instruction within the instruction stream will not be reordered for out-of-order execution with instructions preceding the sync instruction.

An example instruction sequence with a sync op is illustrated in **Figure 6A**. The first three load or store (Ld/St) instructions before the sync instruction comprise group A, and the instructions following the sync instruction comprise group B. During normal operation, the above instruction sequence is executed serially, i.e., group A instructions are issued, followed by the sync. Upon detection of the sync, a sync operation is initiated on the system bus. The sync op prevents instructions in all of the processors to be held up (i.e., not issued) until the sync op is completed. The LSU then waits for the receipt of a sync ack from the , indicating completion of all group A operations, before allowing any of the Group B instructions to be issued. Once the sync ack is received, the instructions from Group B are issued.

Because the operation of one processor in a multiprocessor system may affect another processor cache (i.e., data being loaded by one processor may have been invalidated by a store operation from another processor), sync operations, by ensuring that all previous store operations are completed, guarantee the processor will load valid data. The sync operation creates a boundary to further load and store operations by the issuing processor until all previous operations are completed. In doing so, the sync

clears all processor pipelines of instructions.

In slower processors, which operate at, for example, 100 MHz, each barrier instruction, such as a sync, requires approximately 10 processor cycles to complete. With faster processors, however, such as those operating in the Ghz range, a sync completes in approximately 100 processor cycles. Thus, syncs place a significant burden on processor efficiency, particularly because, in typical software, syncs occur every 500-1000 instructions. Each occurrence of a sync causes processors in a data processing system to stall for 100 cycles while the issuing processor waits on the sync operation to complete. Another factor influencing sync performance in current architectures is the fact that the processor pipeline may include as many as 20-50 pipeline stages that require as many as 40-50 cycles to process an instruction. Thus, waiting until the entire pipeline drains (i.e., all previous instructions are completed) when a sync is encountered significantly compromises processor speed and efficiency.

The penalty associated with each sync operation worsens as technology progresses because of the rigid functional placement of sync operations. Other technological advances, such as, for example, increasing the number of execution units within a processor to allow more instructions to be executed in parallel or implementation of larger caches, resulting in more cache hits, positively affect processor efficiency. However, even if sync instructions remain a fixed percentage of all runtime instructions, because more instructions are being executed in parallel, the sync instructions consume a larger portion of available processor cycles and bandwidth. Furthermore, as memory hierarchies--all levels of which are affected by a sync instruction--become deeper, the performance penalty associated with a single sync instruction also increases.

The present invention recognizes that it would therefore be desirable to provide a method and processor architecture for enabling speculative execution of load instructions beyond a sync
5 to reduce processor stalls while awaiting a sync ack and thereby increase processor speed and efficiency.

005050:005050

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A processor architecture is described, which comprises a load store unit (LSU) coupled to an upper and a lower level cache and to processor registers. The processor architecture enables the processor to efficiently process load/store instruction sequences without losing processor cycles while waiting on the completion of a barrier operation (sync op). The LSU contains a barrier operation controller, which is coupled to and interacts with the LSU's load request queue (LRQ) and store/barrier queue. The barrier operation controller permits load instructions subsequent to a sync in an instruction sequence to be speculatively issued by the LRQ prior to the return of the sync acknowledgment. To speculatively issue load requests, the barrier operation controller maintains a multiprocessor speculation (MS) flag in each entry of the LRQ. Each MS flag tracks if and when data returned from a speculatively issued load request can be forwarded to the processor's execution units, registers or L1 cache. A MS flag is set by the barrier operation controller when the associated load request is issued speculatively (i.e., load request that misses the L1 cache and is issued before receipt of a sync ack) and reset when the sync ack has been received. Also, the barrier operation controller permits the data returned from the L1 cache, L2 cache or memory to be held temporarily until a sync ack is seen.

In one embodiment, if at any time while the MS flag is set an invalidate is received corresponding to the cache line being speculatively loaded, the load request is re-issued. If the load request is re-issued after the sync ack, it is treated as non-speculative.

When the processor architecture of the present invention is

applied to a multiprocessor architecture, a sync ack returns only when all the previously issued instructions have completed their operations, including operations that occur on other processors. Once the sync ack returns, then the temporarily held data can be forwarded from the LRQ to the L1 cache, processor registers, and/or execution units if an invalidate has not been received.

In another embodiment, speculative execution is allowed beyond multiple barrier operations. Thus, each group of instructions occurring after a barrier instruction in the sequence is assigned a unique MS flag. Thus, when a sync acknowledgment is received, only the returned data for the group corresponding to the particular barrier operation is forwarded to the processor registers or execution units.

The invention may be utilized to provide more efficient processing by a firmly consistent processor by coupling it to a weakly consistent storage subsystem. In this embodiment, an ordered instruction sequence from the firmly consistent processor is provided to a weakly consistent storage subsystem via a modified load store unit (LSU). After issuing each instruction, the LSU issues a barrier instruction to complete a barrier operation on the system bus. The barrier operations are completed sequentially. The load and store operations, however, are completed out-of-order within the memory subsystem. When data are returned corresponding to a load request issued prior to a return of a sync ack for a prior sync, the LSU waits on the return of the sync ack before forwarding the data to the registers and execution units of the processor. Because the barrier operations complete in a sequential manner, the original order is maintained in forwarding the data.

In another embodiment, the invention combines sync

instructions and provides a single combined sync operation on the system bus. When two or more sync instructions are within the store/barrier queue and the store operations preceding the sync operations have been completed, the sync instructions are combined
5 into a single sync instruction, which provides a single sync operation on the system bus.

Another embodiment of the present invention removes sync operations from an instruction sequence. In this embodiment, the
10 L1 and L2 caches are monitored to determine if all the load/store operations prior to a sync operation hit in either cache. When all the load/store operations hit in the caches, the following sync operation is discarded, and the subsequent group of load/store operations are executed as if no preceding sync
15 operation existed.

In yet another embodiment, the invention enhances a speculative branch mechanism. After a speculative branch path is taken, the invention permits branch processing to continue beyond
20 the occurrence of the first and subsequent barrier instructions. When the branch is resolved as correctly predicted, significant time savings results because of the post barrier instruction processing. If the branch is resolved as incorrectly predicted, data and other process results of speculative execution are
25 discarded.

The above as well as additional objects, features, and advantages of an illustrative embodiment will become apparent in the following detailed written description.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features believed characteristic of the invention are set forth in the appended claims. The invention itself
5 however, as well as a preferred mode of use, further objects and advantages thereof, will best be understood by reference to the following detailed description of an illustrative embodiment when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

10 **Figure 1** depicts a high level block diagram of a multiprocessor data processing system which may be utilized to implement the present invention;

15 **Figure 2** is a block diagram of a preferred embodiment of a Load/Store Unit (LSU) utilized in accordance with the present invention;

20 **Figures 3A and 3B** are logic flow charts of processes by which load instructions are speculatively executed beyond a sync op in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

25 **Figures 4A and 4B** are logic flow charts that together illustrate a process of speculatively executing instructions beyond multiple syncs in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 5 is a logic flow chart illustrating the effects of kill invalidates on the process of implementing speculative loads beyond a sync op in accordance with the present invention;

30 **Figures 6A and 6B** are two sample instruction sequences with sync operations utilized to illustrate the present invention;

Figure 6C is a table illustrating the issuing of instructions from a firmly consistent processor to a weakly consistent memory subsystem in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 6D is a sample instruction sequence illustrating the combination (or collapsing) of multiple syncs according to the present invention;

Figure 7 is a flow chart illustrating the process of combining sync instructions to yield a single sync operation on the system bus in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention; and

Figure 8 is a logic flow chart of the process of utilizing the functions of the present invention within a branch prediction mechanism in accordance with the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF AN ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENT

With reference now to the figures, and in particular with reference to **Figure 1**, there is illustrated a high level block diagram of a multiprocessor computer system in which the present invention may be implemented. Computer system **10** has several processing units, two of which, **12a** and **12b**, are depicted. Processing units **12a** and **12b** are connected to various peripheral devices, including input/output (I/O) devices **14** (such as a display monitor, keyboard, graphical pointer (mouse), and a permanent storage device or hard disk), and system controller **32**. Peripheral devices also include memory device **16** (such as random access memory or RAM), which is used by the processing units to carry out program instructions, and firmware **18**, whose primary purpose is to seek out and load an operating system from one of the peripherals (usually the permanent memory device) whenever the computer is first turned on. Processing units **12a** and **12b** communicate with the peripheral devices by various means, including a generalized interconnect or system bus **20**, or direct memory access channels (not shown). Computer system **10** may have many additional components which are not shown, such as serial, parallel, and universal serial bus (USB) ports for connection to, e.g., modems, printers or scanners, and a display adapter used to control a video display monitor, etc.

Each processing unit **12a**, **12b** has one or more caches, such as level 1 (L1) instruction cache **24** and data cache **26**, and L2 cache **30**, which are implemented using high speed memory devices. Caches are commonly used to temporarily store data that might be repeatedly accessed by a processor, in order to speed up

processing by avoiding the additional latency of loading the values from memory 16. Caches are referred to as "on-board" caches (e.g., L1 caches) when they are integrally packaged with the processor core on a single integrated chip 28. Each cache is associated with a cache controller (not shown) that manages the transfer of data and instructions between the cache memory and the processor core or other levels in the memory hierarchy. L2 cache 30 is connected to system bus 20, and all information loaded from memory 16 into processor core 22 is routed through L2 cache 30 via system bus 20. Although **Figure 1** depicts only a two-level cache hierarchy, multi-level cache hierarchies can be provided where there are many levels (L3, L4, etc.) of serially connected or look-aside caches.

In a symmetric multiprocessor (SMP) computer, all of the processing units are generally identical, that is, they all use a common set or subset of instructions and protocols to operate, and generally have the same architecture. An exemplary processing unit includes one of the line of PowerPC™ processors marketed by International Business Machines Corp. As illustrated in **Figure 1**, a processing unit includes a processor core 22 having a plurality of registers and execution units, which carry out program instructions in order to operate computer system 10. The execution circuitry of processors core 22 comprises multiple execution units, including, for example, two fixed-point units (FXUs), two load/store units (LSUs), and two floating-point units (FPUs). As is well-known to those skilled in the computer art, each of the execution units typically executes one or more instructions of a particular type of instructions during each processor cycle.

As its name implies, the LSU typically executes load instructions, which load data from L1 data cache 26, L2 cache 30, or memory 16 into selected general purpose registers (GPRs), GPR rename buffers, fixed purpose registers (FPRs) or FPR rename buffers in processor core 22. The LSU also executes store instructions, which store data from a selected one of GPRs, GPR rename buffers, FPRs, or FPR rename buffers to memory. The present invention extends the functionality of the LSU during loading of data to allow speculative loading beyond a sync in the instruction sequence.

The present invention will be described with reference to the above computer system 10 but may be implemented in many other types of computer system architecture. The reference herein to a particular system architecture is therefore not meant to be limiting on the invention.

The present invention provides a new processor architecture (and method), which allows an LSU to execute load instructions beyond a barrier operation (hereinafter referred to as a sync op or sync) in an instruction sequence. More specifically, the invention entails the inclusion of new hardware logic within the load queue of the LSU, which provides a functionality by which the previous "wait on sync ack" requirement before executing subsequent load instructions is removed and load operations subsequent to a sync are speculatively executed before the sync ack is received at the LSU. The invention permits speculative loads, as the data returned is speculative with respect to the pending completion of the sync operation. Thus, the hardware logic, which is referred to as a barrier operation controller, also provides a mechanism that tags loads subsequent to a sync and temporarily holds load data returned from a memory subsystem in a

holding location. The barrier operation controller also causes the LSU to re-execute a load whenever particular types of invalidates are received before a sync ack, as will be described in detail below.

5 After a sync op commences on the system bus, a sync ack is returned when the preceding load/store operations have all been completed. In faster processors this process can require 100 or more processor cycles. Thus, if the subsequent (speculative) load
10 is correct, i.e., data dependencies were observed, then a performance benefit results of 100 or more processor cycles for each sync op. When a data dependency is not observed, the load instruction has to be re-executed to fetch the correct data. Thus, at worst case, processor performance is unchanged.

15 **Figure 2** illustrates a preferred embodiment of an LSU in accordance with the present invention. LSU **201** is one of the execution units within the processor core **22** of computer system **10** illustrated in **Figure 1**. LSU **201** includes an adder **218**, which
20 receives load/store instructions from instruction sequencing unit (ISU) **200** via load/store request bus **217**. The load/store instructions are received in program order, i.e., in the sequence in which they were placed by the computer or programmer. Adder **218** calculates the target effective addresses of load and store
25 instructions in the instruction stream. Adder **218** then forwards the target addresses for load instructions to load dispatch control unit **205** and forwards sync instructions and target addresses for store instructions to store/barrier queue **207**.

30 Load dispatch control unit **205** places the load instructions into an 8 entry (0-7) Load Request Queue (LRQ) **208**. Load register

0 209 through load register 7 211 hold the load instructions and are further coupled to L2 load request arbitration unit 213, which determines the order for issuing the load requests out to L1 data cache 26 or L2 cache 30. Each load register has an affiliated
5 multiprocessor speculation (MS) flag that indicates whether or not the load request is issued speculatively (i.e., subject to a previous sync operation). Thus, load register 0 209 has an affiliated MS flag 210, and load register 7 211 has an affiliated MS flag 212. Load requests that are subsequent to a sync in
10 program order can be issued speculatively before the sync op completes on the system bus when tracked by an affiliated MS Flag. In the preferred embodiment, as illustrated, a separate MS flag is set for each load request issued while sync op completion is pending, i.e., LRQ 208 has an MS flag for each load request. In
15 an alternate embodiment, where LRQ 208 issues load requests to L1 data cache 26 or L2 cache 30 sequentially, LRQ 208 may have a single MS flag and set the MS flag for the first load request issued prior to receipt of a sync ack.

20 In the preferred embodiment, LSU includes a barrier operation (BOP) controller 221, which is coupled to both the LRQ 208 and the store/barrier queue 207. BOP controller 221 is comprised of hardware logic by which the setting of the MS flag and other operations, which effectuate the speculative loads of the
25 invention, are completed. BOP controller 201 is coupled to L2 cache 30 via ack/snoop bus 214 by which sync acknowledgments, castout invalidates and snoop invalidates are transmitted to BOP controller 201.

30 As described, BOP controller 221 maintains the MS flags,

which mark speculative loads executed out-of-order with respect to previous syncs. BOP controller 221 monitors the store/barrier queue 207 to determine when a sync operation, which is sequentially ahead of issued load instruction(s), has not been completed. MS flags 210, 212 may be latches, which are set by BOP controller 221. MS flags 210, 212 may be "set" when a load instruction is dependent on a previous sync, i.e., a speculative load, or "reset" when a load instruction is not dependent on a previous sync or the sync ack has been received at LSU 201. MS flags 210, 212 may also be registers that include a single bit or group of bits depending on its desired functionality, as will become clear later. When implemented as a single bit, MS flags 210, 212 have a first set value (e.g. "1"), which represents to the LSU that the load is a speculative load, i.e., subject to receipt of a sync ack. MS flags 210, 212 also have a second value (e.g. "0") that represents to the LSU that execution of the load does not depend on the receipt of a sync ack.

Store/barrier queue 207 receives and issues store operations and syncs. It is important that store operations remain ordered with their respective sync ops during execution. For example, a sync operation cannot be issued on the system bus 20 until all store operations from the previous group of instructions have completed on the system bus 20. However, once all store operations from the previous group have completed on the system bus, the store operations from a subsequent group can be issued.

Thus, the following rules apply with respect to execution order on the system bus with respect to store operations: (1) sync operations cannot be completed before store operations from the same or a previous group; (2) store operations from a group cannot

be completed before store operations from a previous group; (3) store operations from a group can pass a sync op from a previous group; and (4) store operations from a group can be completed before store operations from within the same group.

Transfer of instructions and data between the various components of **Figure 2** are completed with interconnect buses as shown. Thus, for example, both load dispatch control unit **205** and store/barrier queue **207** receive load and store/barrier instructions, respectively, from adder **218** via a core load/store request bus **217**, and data is returned from L2 cache **30** via load data return bus **215**. Further, system bus **20** provides a connection between L2 cache **30** and the external system components. Although LSU **201** is depicted in **Figure 2** as having specific components, it is understood that additional components may be included within LSU including, for example, an address translation buffer. The presented figure is utilized for illustrative purposes only and is not meant to be limiting on the invention.

Multiprocessor Speculation Mechanism via a Barrier Speculation Flag

The exemplary instruction sequence in **Figure 6A**, which was discussed above, demonstrates the performance advantage of the present invention. During processing of the instruction stream, sync_A is issued along with or following the group A instructions. Immediately after the group A instructions are issued and before the sync ack of sync_A is received by BOP controller **221**, LRQ **208** issues the load instructions from group B. When the load instructions of group B are placed in LRQ **208** for issuing, the corresponding MS flags are set by BOP controller **221** to indicate

that the load requests are speculative with respect to sync_A. The load data from group B instructions are returned from L1 cache 26, L2 cache 30 or memory 16 and stored temporarily in a MS storage location. When the sync ack is received by BOP controller 221, BOP controller 221 resets the corresponding MS flags.

Thus, loads that are subsequent to a sync can be issued before the sync completes in the memory system (i.e., before a sync ack is received by BOP controller 221); however these loads are treated as speculative loads, and the MS flag is set for all load requests from group B. Also data returning for a speculative load request is held in the MS storage location and not placed in the processor's architected registers (e.g., general purpose registers), that is, not "written back," until a sync ack is received by BOP controller 221. In addition, if a snoop invalidate bus transaction is detected on system bus 20 for any data being speculatively fetched before the sync ack is received, then the particular load request must be re-issued. If the re-issue occurs after the sync ack is received, then the load can be treated as a normal non-speculative load.

In the preferred embodiment, the MS storage location in which data is temporarily held is the corresponding register of the LRQ 208 from which the load request was issued. Alternatively, the location may be within the BOP controller 221. In another embodiment, the MS storage location is a temporary location within L1 data cache 26, and the returned data are held temporarily in L1 data cache 26 with an "invalid" flag appended. The invalid flag is removed when the sync ack is received, and the data may then be sent to the processor registers or execution units. Alternate storage locations are possible for speculative load data, and use

of any such location, which provides efficient temporary storage for the speculatively loaded data, is understood to fall within the scope of the invention. One major advantage of the invention is that the subsequent loads can be performed while the sync op is also being performed. Processor performance is improved because the loads and syncs are now performed in parallel.

Asynchronously Overlapping Storage Barrier Operations With Old and New Storage Operations

Figures 3A and 3B illustrate steps in the process of executing memory operations beyond a sync op according to the invention. In **Figure 3A**, the process begins at block **351** and thereafter proceeds to block **353**, where the sequence of instructions is received at LSU **201**. A determination of whether the next instruction in the sequence is a load instruction is made at block **355**. If the instruction is a load instruction, it is dispatched to LRQ **208** at block **363**. The process by which the LRQ **208** processes the load is described below with reference to **Figure 3B**. Then, the process ends at block **373**.

Returning now to block **355**, if the next instruction is not a load instruction, the next instruction is sent to store/barrier queue **207**. A determination is then made of whether the instruction is a store instruction at block **357**. If the instruction is a store instruction, it is issued to the L1 data cache **26** or L2 cache **30** or memory **16** at block **365** following the restrictions on ordering store instructions described above. If the instruction is not a store instruction, then it is a sync, and a determination is made whether there is an outstanding (i.e., not

yet completed) sync operation on system bus 20 at block 367. If there is no outstanding sync operation on system bus 20, then a sync transaction is issued on system bus 20 at block 369. If, however, there is an outstanding sync operation on system bus 20, then LSU 201 waits until the sync ack is received at BOP controller 221 for the previous sync op at block 371 before issuing the sync transaction on system bus 20 at block 369. Thus, in this embodiment, only one degree of speculation is permitted. When a next sync is encountered, LSU 201 waits until the ack from the previous sync op is received before proceeding with subsequent issuance of syncs and following load or store instructions.

Referring now to **Figure 3B**, there is illustrated a preferred embodiment of a method by which LRQ 208 processes speculative load instructions. The process begins at block 401 and thereafter proceeds to block 403, where LSU 201 receives a load instruction, and load dispatch control 205 places corresponding load requests in LRQ 208. LRQ 208 then issues a load request at block 405 to L1 data cache 26, and then L2 cache 30 if the load request misses in L1 data cache 26. A determination is made by BOP controller 221 at block 407 whether any previously issued sync transaction has still not completed on system bus 20. If there is no outstanding sync ack, i.e., the previous sync op received a sync ack, or no previous sync was issued, then a determination is made at block 409 whether or not data returned to LSU 201 from L1 data cache 26, L2 cache 30, or memory 16. If data returned, then LSU 201 forwards the data to processor registers or execution units at block 411. Then the process ends at block 413.

Returning to decision block **407**, if a sync op is still awaiting an ack, then BOP controller **221** sets the MS flag for that load instruction within LRQ **208** at block **415**. A determination whether LSU **201** received an invalidate for the particular load instruction is then completed at block **417**. If LSU **201** received an invalidate, the local state of LSU **201** is set to enable re-issue of the load request so new data maybe fetched at block **419**. If LSU **201** did not receive an invalidate, a check is made for the receipt of the sync ack at block **321**. If the sync ack has not been received, the process returns to block **417**, where LSU **201** continues to wait for an invalidate until the sync ack has been received. However, if BOP controller **221** receives a sync ack, then a determination is made at block **422** whether the data returned. Then, a decision whether the load state indicates a re-issue of the load request, i.e., an invalidate was received, is made at block **423**. The latter determination also waits on the return of data. If the load state indicates re-issue of the load request, then the process returns to block **405**, where LSU **201** re-issues the load request to L1 data cache **26** and L2 cache **30**. If, however, the load state does not indicate that the load must be re-issued, then LSU **201** forwards the data to the processor registers or execution units at block **411**.

Various changes may be made to the flow processes of **Figures 3A and 3B**. Particularly, although the figure is described with respect to a single load request per sync op, it is understood that any number of load requests as well as store requests may be issued from LSU **201** both before and after each sync. Also, in some embodiments the returned data may be stored in L1 data cache

26 rather than being sent directly to the processor registers or execution units. Additional steps may be included and/or the order of the steps re-arranged to provide different embodiments which fall within the spirit and scope of the invention.

5

The present invention removes the condition that the memory system cannot service any load request from group B of the instruction stream of **Figure 6A** until the sync_A is completed on the system bus 20. The invention further removes the ordering constraints of syncs and loads and manages syncs relative to loads occurring after the syncs in program order. The memory system does not have to maintain any ordering information for issue and/or completion of the sync ops relative to subsequent load requests. The order is instead managed by BOP controller 221, which utilizes MS flags 210, 212 in LRQ 208 for any speculative loads.

10

15

Imprecise Recycling of Storage Operations

One important aspect of the invention involves the monitoring of the system bus for invalidates, and the effect of specific types of invalidates on the speculative load processes. In one embodiment, different types of invalidates are received at LSU 201 from system bus 20 or L2 cache 30. BOP controller 221 determines, based on the type of invalidate received, whether or not to discard the data from the speculative loads and re-issue the load request. BOP controller 221 monitors for an invalidate during the window between starting a speculative load and receiving a corresponding sync ack from the system (e.g., this window may be 100 processor cycles in a GHz processor). If, for example, a snoop invalidate for a speculative load is seen, LSU 210 must

25

30

recycle at least that one speculative load and re-issue the load request.

BOP controller **221** monitors for and responds to specific
5 types of invalidates to determine how to treat a speculative load
request. Invalidates caused by castouts from L2 cache **30** or from
memory **16** do not need to force a re-issue of a speculative load.
Snoop invalidates, however, cause a speculative load request to be
re-issued and the previously fetched data discarded. Castout
10 invalidates occur when a full L2 cache **30** has a miss, and L2 cache
30 is forced to fetch the line from a lower level of memory **16**.
Since the miss occurs, L2 cache **30** has to make room in the cache
and to issue an invalidate to remove the line from the L1 data
cache **26** (or other MS temporary holding location). The
15 speculatively issued load request is not re-issued because no
change of data occurs.

The possible actions taken by LSU **201** upon detection of a
kill include: recycling all speculative loads, recycling only the
20 specific load hit by the invalidate, and recycling only the
specific load hit by an invalidate of the proper type. Thus,
there is a specific invalidate. The invalidate address is
compared against the cache line address of each of the loads and
only the load request having the invalidate address as a target
25 address is re-issued. There is also a general kill, which forces
all speculative load requests to be re-issued if any invalidate is
received while waiting for the sync ack. Finally, there is a
forward kill. LRQ **208** only re-issues speculative load requests
for invalidates due to snoop invalidates (i.e., kills due to
30 castouts from the L2 cache **30** do not need to force a re-issue).

Figure 5 illustrates the process of handling invalidates received. The process begins at block **501**, and thereafter proceeds to block **503**, where a sync operation is issued on system bus **20**. Following the issuing of the sync, speculative load requests are issued by LRQ **208** at block **505**, and the loaded data are received into LRQ **208** at block **507**. BOP controller **221** checks for a receipt of an invalidate from system bus **20** at block **509**. If an invalidate is received, then BOP controller **221** further determines at block **511** if the invalidate is a type of invalidate for which the load request is re-issued. When an invalidate is of the type which requires a re-issue of the load request, the corresponding loaded data received into LRQ **208** are discarded, and LRQ **208** is set to re-issue the load request at block **513**. If no invalidate is received or a received invalidate does not require a re-issue of the load request, then a check is made for the receipt of the sync ack at block **514**. When the sync ack is received at BOP controller **221**, the data stored in the LRQ **208** are forwarded to the processor registers or execution units at block **515**. Then the process ends at block **517**.

Efficiently Managing Multiple Barrier Operations

In a preferred embodiment, the functionality of the invention is extended to allow speculative execution of instructions beyond multiple syncs. The implementation of this embodiment is described with reference to the instruction stream illustrated in **Figure 6B**. As shown in **Figure 6B**, instructions 4, 8, 12, and 16 are sync instructions (namely, sync_A, B, C, and D). Preceding each sync instruction in program order are a number of load and store operations. According to the present embodiment, after

sync_A has been issued, all subsequent load operations, including those after syncs B and C, may be executed prior to the receipt of the sync ack of sync_A at BOP controller 221.

5 BOP controller 221 and LRQ 208 control the issuance of the speculative loads and set the MS flags. In one embodiment, each MS flag is a multiple-bit register, and each group of load instructions separated by a sync is assigned a particular bit within the multiple-bit register. Thus, an MS group flag with
10 register value "111" indicates that three previous sync acks are outstanding. If another sync is encountered in the instruction sequence, BOP controller 221 appends another bit to the MS group flag, which now becomes "1111". As the sync acks return, BOP controller 221 changes the MS group flag (111) to "11" and then to
15 "1". An MS group flag value of "0" would thus indicate that all previous sync acks have been received. As in the single sync embodiment, the load transactions are executed prior to the return of the previous sync acks, and the load data are held temporarily in LRQ 208, and are not forwarded to the processor registers or
20 execution units until the sync acks for all previous syncs ops have been received at BOP controller 221. If, for example, instruction 13, Ld-C0, is executed, the loaded data are held in LRQ 208 until the sync acks for sync-A, sync-B, and sync-C have all returned to BOP controller 221. If an invalidate is received
25 before any one of the previous sync acks returns, the data are discarded from LRQ 208, and the load request is re-issued to fetch the correct data. If all previous sync acks have returned when the load request is re-issued, the load request is non-speculative. However, if the load request is re-issued before all
30 previous sync acks are received, the re-issued load request is treated as speculative.

The multiple sync speculation embodiment may also be implemented using a sync group ID, which explicitly identifies a particular sync and the associated load requests with the particular sync group. BOP controller 221 tracks the load requests so that the specific group to which a load request belongs is known.

According to the above implementation, an MS group flag is contained in LRQ 208 for each load issued while return of a sync ack is pending. The MS group flag is set by BOP controller 221 when LRQ 208 issues a load (e.g., from group D) if BOP controller 221 is still waiting for a previously issued sync operation (i.e., Sync-A,B, and/or C) to be completed on system bus 20. The MS group flag is reset only when all previous sync acks have returned, indicating all previous sync ops have completed.

In this embodiment, LSU 201 handles speculative loads for the MS group flag in a similar manner as with the single sync embodiment described above. Thus, the following processes are followed:

(1) Loads that are subsequent to a sync can be issued before that sync completes on system bus 20 (i.e. before a sync ack is received) but they must be treated as speculative loads (i.e., MS group flag is set for loads from group D);

(2) Any data returning for a speculative load are held in LRQ 208 and not returned to the processor registers or execution units until all sync acks from earlier sync ops have been received;

(3) If a system bus invalidate is received that targets a speculative load from group D (e.g., Ld-C1) before sync acks are received for sync-A, B, and C, then Ld-C1 must be re-issued to retrieve the latest data (i.e., data returned for earlier issuance

of this load request must be discarded). The re-issuance of Ld-C1 may occur several times if several invalidates occur; and

(4) Once sync acks (i.e., acks for sync-A,B,C) have been received for all earlier syncs, the load data for group D load requests can be supplied to the processor registers and execution units.

Figure 4A illustrates the process by which speculative issuance of load instructions is completed with multiple syncs. As described above, the process involves setting MS flags, which may comprise multiple-bit registers or MS group flags, and LSU 201 executing load instructions according to a hardware logic control scheme for multiple sync ops. The process begins at block 451 and thereafter proceeds to block 453, where the sequence of instructions is received at LSU 201. A determination of whether the next instruction in the sequence is a load instruction is made at block 455. If the instruction is a load instruction, then LSU 201 dispatches the load request to a register of LRQ 208 at block 463. The process then ends at block 471. If, however, the next instruction is not a load instruction, then it is a store or sync op, which is placed in store/barrier queue 207 from which the next instruction is later issued at block 457. Following block 457, another instruction is received by LSU 201 at block 453 as the process continues.

Referring now to **Figure 4B**, the process by which the load request is speculatively issued is illustrated. **Figure 4B** is similar to **Figure 3B** and like blocks are accordingly numbered the same. **Figure 4B**, however, applies to a multiple sync embodiment and thus blocks 407 and 421 of the process check for multiple sync

ops and corresponding multiple sync acks on system bus 20.

The primary advantage of this multiple sync speculative embodiment is that the issuance of any load operation in the instruction stream does not have to wait for one or more earlier syncs to complete. As processors operate at higher and higher frequencies, out of order execution scales accordingly. However, the processing of sync ops does not scale with higher processor frequencies due to the serial dependency of completion in the memory system. The present invention removes the processor's serial execution dependency on the memory system, improving the overall system performance.

Enabling Weak Consistent Storage Advantage To a Firmly Consistent Storage Architecture

The present invention also advantageously enables a firmly consistent processor to operate with a weakly consistent storage subsystem. As described above BOP controller 221 enables memory and other instructions to be executed beyond one or more syncs. In firmly consistent processors, an implied sync follows each instruction. The present invention therefore treats implied syncs as actual syncs to allow speculative execution of subsequent memory instructions. The preferred embodiment utilizes the multiple sync speculation described above to permit existing firmly consistent processor architectures (such as, for example, the x86 and /390) to be connected to a weakly consistent storage subsystem and operate as efficiently as weakly consistent processor architectures. The primary advantages achieved are that existing firmly consistent processors can now have the advantage of utilizing much faster weakly consistent memory system, and prior software applications, which run on the firmly consistent

processors, do not need to be rewritten to include syncs.

Figure 6C is a table summarizing the processing of an instruction sequence of a firmly consistent processor having a weakly consistent storage subsystem. The first column illustrates the order in which a processor ISU 200 issues the instructions to LSU 201 (i.e., the program order). In the second column, LSU 201 receives the instructions and places a sync after each instruction, i.e., a sync is placed in the barrier/store queue to be issued to the memory system. In the memory system, the operations for rows 1-4 of instructions in the table may occur in any order. The only requirement is that the store operations (i.e., St-C0) complete on the system bus before their respective barrier instructions (i.e., Sync-C). In the forth column, the order of providing the results back to the processor registers, L1 data cache 26, and/or execution units reflects the original program order of the instructions. The sync acks have to return to the LSU in the order (i.e., the original processor order) in which they were issued on the system bus, as described herein. Thus the program order is maintained irrespective of the actual operation order of the instructions in the memory system.

Folding Storage Barrier Operations

In another multiple sync embodiment, each of the previously encountered syncs are collapsed into a single sync whenever instructions from the next group of instructions are executed before a sync from the previous group has being issued from load/barrier queue 207. Thus, LRQ 208 continues to issue load instructions without BOP controller 221 receiving sync acks for each of the previous sync ops. In this embodiment, when an

invalidate is encountered, the invalidate is applied to any speculative load request that is still awaiting on the receipt of a sync ack(s).

5 In the preferred implementation, store/barrier queue 207 combines multiple syncs into one sync, which is issued on system bus 20. Thus, whereas previously a corresponding sync operation was issued on system bus 20 for each sync (i.e., a serialized issuing of syncs), the present implementation enhances the multiple sync speculation functionality by combining multiple syncs into a single bus operation. BOP controller 221 monitors the store instructions before each sync. Because store/barrier queue 207 allows store instructions from a group to be issued prior to a sync op from a previous group, all store instructions from a following group may be issued before the sync for the previous group is issued. BOP controller 221 forces the sync from the previous group to be combined with the sync from the next group. Thus, only the second sync remains in store/barrier queue 207 to be issued on system bus 20. Of course, this process may continue for later syncs, whose store instructions are issued before the combined sync is issued.

 Accordingly, all previous load operations are completed subject to the receipt of a sync ack for the second (or combined) sync. The receipt of a sync ack provides completion information for all the previous load/store operations, including those preceding each of the syncs which are combined. **Figure 6D** illustrates this feature of the invention. As shown in the second instruction list, syncs A and B are issued as a single combined sync transaction on the system bus. BOP controller 221 thus receives a single sync ack from system bus 20, which indicates

completion of all the instructions prior to both sync A and B. By combining syncs, less system bus cycles are required to complete sync operations.

5 **Figure 7** illustrates a process by which sync instructions are combined to provide a single occurrence of a sync operation on the system bus. The process begins at block 701, and thereafter proceeds to block 703, where LSU 201 receives a sync from a particular group (group "N") and places the sync in store/barrier queue 207. A determination is then made at block 705 of whether
10 all the store operations for group N have been completed (i.e., there are no stores operations from group N left in store/barrier queue 207). If there are no more group N store operations, a next determination is made at block 707 of whether all the load and
15 store operations from group N were completed without accessing the system bus 20, i.e., all the load/store operations of the group hit at L1 data cache 26 or L2 cache 30. When all the load/store operations hit at L1 data cache 26 or L2 cache 30, L2 cache 30 sends a sync ack for group N to BOP controller 221 at block 709. Then the process ends at block 711.
20

If however, any of the load/stores from group N did not hit at L1 data cache 26 or L2 cache 30, BOP controller 221 causes L2 cache 30 to place the sync transaction from group N on the system
25 bus 20 at block 713. A determination is then made whether the sync transaction is placed on system bus 20 at block 715. If the sync transaction is placed on system bus 20, the process proceeds to block 709, where L2 cache 30 waits until it receives the sync ack and sends the group N sync ack to BOP controller 221. If the

sync transaction was not acknowledged on system bus 20, a next determination is made at block 717 of whether the sync from the following group (group N+1) has been received by LSU 201 and placed in store/barrier queue 207. If the group N+1 sync is in store/barrier queue 207, a determination is made at block 719 of whether all the store operations for group N+1 have been completed. When all the group N+1 store operations have been completed, the group N sync is combined with the group N+1 sync to yield a combined sync, which is the group N+1 sync at block 721. The process then repeats for each subsequent group of load/store operations and associated syncs.

Multi-Level Multiprocessor Speculation Mechanism

Syncs are required to be issued only when load/store operations require the system bus 20 to complete. Thus, when a load/store operation utilizes the system bus 30 to complete, a sync op is required to control the flow of instruction issuing in LSU 201. However, when no system bus transaction is required to complete the load/store operations, which precede a sync in program order, a system bus sync operation is not required. The present invention provides a method for removing syncs from an instruction stream based on a determination of when all prior load/store instructions are completed within the processor. Specifically, BOP controller 221 monitors the load/store operations to determine if all the load/store operations, which are sequentially before a sync in program order, are executed at either L1 data cache 26 or L2 cache 30. When all the load/store operations are executed at either cache, BOP controller 221 recognizes that the system bus sync operation is not required for

that group of load/store instructions and withholds issuing the sync on system bus 20. Using the combining functionality described above, another embodiment may combine syncs when a determination is made that the load/store instructions do not require system bus sync operation. BOP controller 221 resets the respective MS flag to allow the data to be forwarded to the processor registers or execution units.

Multiprocessor Speculation Within a Speculative Branch Path

One embodiment of the invention combines the speculative load functionality with branch prediction to further enhance the branch prediction mechanism of the processor. In previous processor architectures, when a processor went down a predicted path (i.e., target or in-line path) processing of instructions within that path could only be completed up to the first sync in the instruction sequence. The processor waits until the branch is resolved as correctly taken before continuing to process the instruction sequence.

In this embodiment, LRQ 208 continues to issue subsequent load requests, albeit speculatively, while waiting for both the sync acks and the determination of the correctness of the branch path. When the branch is resolved as correctly predicted, substantial amounts of processing of the instruction sequence in the path has occurred, resulting in faster processor operation. If the branch is resolved as be mis-predicted, then all speculative loads within the path, both before and after sync(s) are discarded. Thus, if the path is incorrect, speculative processing beyond the sync does not affect processor operation.

In this embodiment, the processor speculates further down a

predicted execution path without knowing if the load/store instructions are actually required. In one embodiment, the MS flag is set, but because the sync ack is not yet needed (i.e., the predicted execution path has not yet been resolved as correctly taken), the execution of subsequent loads continues without issuing the syncs out to the system bus. If the predicted execution path is correct, then the processor issues the syncs, and BOP controller 221 waits for the sync acks. Once the ack(s) are received, the loaded data are forwarded to the processor registers and execution units.

Figure 8 illustrates the process of handling speculative execution paths beyond a sync. The process begins at block 551 and thereafter passes to block 553, where a speculative execution path is taken. When instructions within the speculative execution path are executed, LRQ 208 issues speculative load requests beyond the syncs, BOP controller 221 sets the corresponding MS flags, and the loaded data are held in LRQ 208 at block 555. A determination whether the speculative execution path was correctly taken is made at block 557. If the speculative execution path is determined to be correct, BOP controller 221 waits for receipt of the sync ack(s), and the speculative load data stored in LRQ 208 are forwarded at block 559 as described above. If, however, the speculative execution path is incorrect, then the load data and other process results are discarded at block 561. The process thereafter ends at block 563. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that although **Figure 8** illustrates basic steps in implementing the above functionality in a particular sequence, additional or fewer steps may be utilized, and the steps may be presented in different order.

5

CLAIMS:

What is claimed is:

1. A data processing system comprising:
 - an interconnect;
 - a processor that processes memory access requests in program order;
 - a memory system coupled to said processor which supports memory access requests in a weakly consistent order; and
 - a controller that issues said memory access requests to said memory system and places a barrier operation on said interconnect in response to each memory access request issued.
2. The data processing system of Claim 1, wherein said controller includes means for creating said barrier operations.
3. The data processing system of Claim 1, wherein said controller includes means for speculatively issuing load requests to said memory system while a barrier operation is pending.
4. The data processing system of claim 3, wherein said controller includes means for allowing data returned by a speculatively issued load request to be utilized by said processor only when an acknowledgment is received from all barrier operations pending when said load was issued.

1 5. A processor comprising:

2 an instruction sequencing unit (ISU) that receives memory
3 access instructions in program order;

4 a load store unit (LSU) including a controller that issues
5 memory access requests associated with said memory access
6 instructions to an interconnect and places a barrier operation on
7 said interconnect in response to each memory access request
8 issued.

1 6. The processor of Claim 5, wherein said controller includes
2 means for creating said barrier operations.

1 7. The data processing system of Claim 5, wherein said
2 controller includes means for speculatively issuing load requests
3 to said interconnect while a barrier operation is pending.

1 8. The data processing system of claim 7, wherein said
2 controller includes means for allowing data returned by a
3 speculatively issued load request to be utilized by said processor
4 only when an acknowledgment is received from all barrier
5 operations pending when said load was issued.

1 9. A method of processing instructions in a data processing
2 system, said method comprising the steps of:

3 receiving an instruction sequence at a processor in program
4 order, said instruction sequence including a memory access
5 instruction;

6 in response to receipt of said memory access instruction,
7 creating a memory access request and a barrier operation;

8 placing said barrier operation on an interconnect after said
9 memory access request is issued to a memory system; and

10 upon completion of said barrier operation, completing said
11 memory access request in program order.

1 10. The method of Claim 9, wherein said memory access request is
2 a load request and further including the step of speculatively
3 issuing said load request while a barrier operation is pending.

1 11. The method of Claim 10, further including the step of
2 forwarding data returned by said speculatively issued load request
3 to a register or execution unit of said processor, when an
4 acknowledgment is received for said barrier operation.

5

ABSTRACT**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ENABLING WEAK CONSISTENT STORAGE ADVANTAGE
TO A FIRMLY CONSISTENT STORAGE ARCHITECTURE**

10

Disclosed is a method of processing instructions in a data processing system. An instruction sequence that includes a memory access instruction is received at a processor in program order. In response to receipt of the memory access instruction a memory access request and a barrier operation are created. The barrier operation is placed on an interconnect after the memory access request is issued to a memory system. After the barrier operation has completed, the memory access request is completed in program order. When the memory access request is a load request, the load request is speculatively issued if a barrier operation is pending. Data returned by the speculatively issued load request is only returned to a register or execution unit of the processor when an acknowledgment is received for the barrier operation.

15

20




Fig. 1

00588508-060500




FIG. 2

FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B





FIG 4A



005050:050500


[illegible]

FIG. 5




FIG. 8




FIG. 6A

- 1) St-An [GROUP A]
- 2) Ld-A0
- 3) Ld-An
- 4) Sync A
- 5) Ld-B0 [GROUP B]
- 6) Ld-Bn
- 7) St-Bn

FIG. 6B

- 1) Ld-A0
- 2) Ld-A1
- 3) St-An
- 4) Sync-A
- 5) Ld-B0
- 6) Ld-B1
- 7) St-Bn
- 8) Sync-B
- 9) Ld-C0
- 10) Ld-C1
- 11) St-Cn
- 12) Sync-C
- 13) Ld-C0
- 14) Ld-C1
- 15) St-Bn
- 16) Sync-D

FIG. 6C

Proc Issue Order	LSU Order to Memory Subsystem	Memory Subsystem Execution order	LSU Completion Order
1) Ld-A0	1) Ld-A0 Sync-A	1) Ld-B0	1) Ld-A0
2) Ld-b0	2) Ld-b0 Sync-B	2) Ld-d0	2) Ld-B0
3) St-C0	3) St-C0 Sync-C	3) Ld-A0	3) St-C0
4) Ld-D0	4) Ld-D0 Sync-D	4) St-C0 5) Sync A,B,C,D	4) Ld-D0

FIG. 6D

Instruction Order


St-A0
St-A1
Sync-A
St-B0
St-B1
Sync-B

Memory Subsystem Execution Order

St-A0
St-A1
St-B0
St-B1
Sync A and B

005050-005050

FIG. 7



00588509-160500

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR
PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ENABLING WEAK CONSISTENT STORAGE ADVANTAGE TO A FIRMLY CONSISTENT STORAGE ARCHITECTURE

the specification of which (check one)

X is attached hereto.

___ was filed on _____
as Application Serial No. _____
and was amended on _____
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s):	Priority Claimed
_____	___ Yes___ No
(Number)	(Country) (Day/Month/Year)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35,

United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to the patentability of this application as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Serial #)

(Filing Date)

(Status)


I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

John W. Henderson, Jr., Reg. No. 26,907; Thomas E. Tyson, Reg. No. 28,543; Robert M. Carwell, Reg. No. 28,499; Jeffrey S. LaBaw, Reg. No. 31,633; Douglas H. Lefevre, Reg. No. 26,193; Casimer K. Salys, Reg. No. 28,900; David A. Mims, Jr., Reg. No. 32,708; Volel Emile, Reg. No. 39,969; James H. Barksdale, Jr., Reg. No. 24,091; Anthony V. England, Reg. No. 35,129; Leslie A. Van Leeuwen, Reg. No. 42,196; Marilyn S. Dawkins, Reg. No. 31,140; Mark E. McBurney, Reg. No. 33,114; Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914; Edward A. Pennington, Reg. No. 32,588; John E. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279; Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No. 18,753; Andrew J. Dillon, Reg. No. 29,634; Daniel E. Venglarik, Reg. No. 39,409; Jack V. Musgrove, Reg. No. 31,986; Brian F. Russell, Reg. No. 40,796; Steven Lin, Reg. No. 35,250; Matthew W. Baca, Reg. No. 42,277; Antony P. Ng, Reg. No. 43,427; John G. Graham, Reg. No. 19,563; Matthew S. Anderson, Reg. No. 39,093; Michael R. Barre, Reg. No. 44,023; Andrew Mitchell Harris, Reg. No. 42,638; Richard McCain, Reg. No. 43,785; Michael Noe, Reg. No. 44,975; and Sidney L. Weatherford, Reg. No. P-45,602.

Send correspondence to: Andrew J. Dillon, Esq., FELSMAN, BRADLEY, VADEN, GUNTER & DILLON, LLP, Suite 350 Lakewood on the Park, 7600B North Capital of Texas Highway, Austin, Texas 78731, and direct all telephone calls to Andrew J. Dillon, at (512) 343-6116.

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR: GUY LYNN GUTHRIE

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: DATE: 2 June, 2000

RESIDENCE: 11145 Calavar Dr.
Austin, Texas 78726

CITIZENSHIP: U.S.A.

005050-20588560

DOCKET NUMBER: AT9-99-505

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: 11145 Calavar Dr.
Austin, Texas 78726

FULL NAME OF SECOND INVENTOR: RAVI KUMAR ARIMILLI

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: Ravi Kumar Arimilli DATE: June 2, 2000

RESIDENCE: 9221 Spicebrush Dr.
Austin, Texas 78759

CITIZENSHIP: U.S.A.

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: 9221 Spicebrush Dr.
Austin, Texas 78759

FULL NAME OF THIRD INVENTOR: JOHN STEVEN DODSON

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: John Steven Dodson DATE: 2 JUNE 2000

RESIDENCE: 1205 Bell Rock Circle
Pflugerville, Texas 78660

CITIZENSHIP: U.S.A.

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: 1205 Bell Rock Circle
Pflugerville, Texas 78660

FULL NAME OF FOURTH INVENTOR: DEREK EDWARD WILLIAMS

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: Derek Edward Williams DATE: June 2nd, 2000

RESIDENCE: 14723 Single Trace
Austin, Texas 78728

CITIZENSHIP: U.S.A.

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: 14723 Single Trace
Austin, Texas 78728